

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/433,204	11/04/1999	ROBERT ALAN HAMM	12-14-9-7-5	2245
759	90 04/08/2003			
DOCKET ADMINISTRATOR RM 3C 512 LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC 600 MOUNTAIN AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			DANG, TRUNG Q	
P O BOX 636 Murray hili	L, NJ 079740636		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	_,		2823	

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

•			in the second
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Action Summers	09/433,204	HAMM ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
		Trung Q. Dang	2823
Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply	ppears on the cover shet with t	th correspond nce address
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to - Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. iod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re iod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu or received by the Office later than three months after the mail atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ate, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27</u>	⁷ January 2003 .	
2a)⊠ T	his action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
	ince this application is in condition for allow		
Disposition	losed in accordance with the practice unde of Claims	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5)∏ CI	aim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>16-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7)□ CI	aim(s) is/are objected to.		
•	aim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application	•		
	e specification is objected to by the Examir		
•	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		
	e proposed drawing correction filed on		pproved by the Examiner.
	e oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	
, —	•	_Aammer.	
	ler 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	an nriadhrundar 25 II C.C. S. 1	10(a) (d) ar (f)
· —	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	gir priority under 35 0.5.C. § 1	19(a)-(u) 01 (1).
، لــا(a	<u> </u>	nta haya baan ragaiyad	
1.	 Certified copies of the priority docume Certified copies of the priority docume 		ication No
	Certified copies of the priority documeCopies of the certified copies of the priority		
	application from the International E the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) <u></u> Ack	nowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).
•	The translation of the foreign language particularly		
Attachment(s)	-		
1) Notice of 2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) On Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
.S. Patent and Trade	mark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/433,204

Art Unit: 2823

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The rejection is maintained as of record and is repeated herein.

Claims 16, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamahata (JP 08-203435 with English translation provided).

The reference teaches every positive step of the claimed invention in that it discloses a method of fabricating a semiconductor device comprising the steps of: forming at least one conductive post 8' overlying the semiconductor region, said conductive post is formed by a lift-off method and comprises at least one of Pt, Au, and Ti (Fig. 5 and paragraph [003\$\psi\$]); encapsulating said conductive post by spin coating benzocyclobutene (BCB) and thereafter curing said BCB at 250 °C to form a planarized cured passivation layer 10 (fig. 6 and paragraph [0033]); and etching the BCB layer 10 to expose conductive post 8' (fig. 10).

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 09/433,204

Art Unit: 2823

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamahata as above and further in view of Costas et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,137,125).

The rejection is maintained as of record and is repeated herein.

Yamahata teaches the claimed invention as noted above except for the claimed limitations concerning curing the BCB layer in nitrogen atmosphere in 1-30 minutes. Costas teaches that curing BCB in a nitrogen atmosphere is commonly know in the art (col. 4, line 47). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to curing the BCB layer 10 in Yamahata in nitrogen atmosphere as suggested by Costas because such process is well known in the art, and the application of an old process to make the same would have been within the level of an artisan, absent any showing of criticality by applicant. As for the duration of which the BCB is cured, it is well settle that, absent a showing of criticality by applicant, the determination of the claimed curing duration would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since it has been held that, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable range by routine experimentation. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980); In re Sola 25 USPQ 433 (CCPA); In re Waite 77 USPQ 586 (CCPA).

Application/Control Number: 09/433,204

Art Unit: 2823

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamahata as 3. above and further in view of Kasuya (JP 08-017798 with English translation provided).

The rejection is maintained as of record and is repeated herein.

Yamahata teaches the claimed invention as noted above, differs from the claimed in not disclosing the chemistry of which the BCB layer 10 is etched as recited in the claim. However, Kasuva teaches reactive ion etching (RIE) of BCB using either CF4/O2 mixture (CF4:O2 ratio is 2:3) or SF6/O2 mixture (SF6:O2 ratio is 2:3). See Fig. 10, paragraph [0014], and abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to etch the BCB layer 10 in Yamahata by RIE using CF4/O2 mixture or SF6/O2 mixture with the aforementioned gas ratio as suggested by Costas because such process is well known in the art, and the application of an old process to make the same would have been within the level of an artisan, absent any showing of criticality by applicant.

Applicant's arguments filed 1-27-2003 have been fully considered but they are not 4. persuasive.

With respect to all rejected claims, applicants primarily argue that the primary reference to Yamahata neither teaches nor discloses the limitation "forming a conductive post" as recited in independent claim 16.

Application/Control Number: 09/433,204 Page 5

Art Unit: 2823

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. As pointed out in the rejection, conductive layer 8' of the reference is considered as the claimed conductive post. The limitation "conductive post" is interpreted as a piece of metal set upright. The conductive layer 8' meets that standard, hence reads on the claimed limitation "conductive post".

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2823

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trung Dang whose telephone number is (703) 308-2548. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3432 or (703) 308-7725.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Trung Dang

Juny Dany

Primary Examiner, Group 2800